

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,228	06/29/2005	Keiji Hasumi	05360/HG	4548	
1933 7590 11/28/2007 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 220 Fifth Avenue			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			KOSAR, AARON J		
16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11211 10144,			1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/541,228	HASUMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Aaron J. Kosar	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim viill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. they filed the mailing date of this communication, D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status .					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Second 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under EDisposition of Claims	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate. <u>July 17, 2007</u> .			

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and arguments filed September 26, 2007 in response to the non-final rejection, are acknowledged. Applicant's has amended the claims by introducing new . claims 5-14.

The amended claims introduce a number of species different from those presented in the method of originally recited claim 4 and which were not originally presented in the claims. For the reasons which are presented below, and for the sake of compact prosecution, an Election of Species is required to facilitate further examination of the claims on their merits.

Claims 1-14 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The claimed species are as follows:

- a) an enzyme species selected from bacillolysin MA or trypsin;
- b) a support species selected from porous silica bead support, a cellulose-based support, an agarose-based support, a cross-linked dextran-based support and a crosslinked polyacrylamide-based support;
- c) a molecule species which binds the substrate selected from *lysine*, *anti-insulin* antibody, and *hirudine*; and
- d) a substrate species selected from plasminogen, preproinsulin, and prothrombin

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a <u>single</u> species from each of (a)-(d) above (e.g. the affinity trap comprising bacillolysin MA-hirudine-agarose and prothrombin) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently

Application/Control Number: 10/541,228

Art Unit: 1651

added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

The species of enzyme, bacillolysin MA, corresponds to claims 3, 4, 7 and 10. The species of enzyme, trypsin, corresponds to claim 11. Each species of a support corresponds to claims 6. Furthermore, the support species agarose corresponds to claims 12 and 13. The species lysine corresponds to claims 3, 4, 8, 9,13, and 14. The species hirudine and anti-insulin antibody correspond to claims 10 and 11 respectively. The species of plasminogen, prothrombin, and preproinsulin correspond to claims 4, 8,13, and 14; claim 10; and claim 11, respectively.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1 is generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Annex B, Part I(f) of the Administrative Instructions under PCT states that, "wherein a single claim defines alternatives (chemical or non-chemical)...the requirement of a technical interrelationship and the same or corresponding special technical features as defined in Rule 13.2, shall be considered to be met when the alternatives are of a similar nature."

The alternatives must comply with subsections (i)(A) and one of either (i)(B)(1) or (i)(B)(2), which requires that, "all alternatives have a common property or activity" and "a

common structure is present, i.e., a significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives" (B)(1) or "in cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds in the art to which the invention pertains."(B)(2).

In the instant case, the compounds do not share the same activity/function, as each affinity trap reactor comprises distinct elements (e.g. see tables 1 and 2) and thereby do not function the same failing to satisfy requirement (A). Additionally, the claims fails to satisfy either (B)(1) or (B)(2). The claim recites no common structure, i.e., a significant structural element is shared by <u>all</u> of the alternative structures, thus failing to meet the requirements of (B)(1).

Further, in looking to subsection (f)(iii), it is stated that 'recognized class of chemical compounds' means that, "there is an expectation from the knowledge in the art that members of the class will behave in the same way in the context of the claimed invention. In other words, each member could be substituted one for the other, with the expectation that the same intended result would be achieved." One of skill in the art would not recognize these divergent compounds (affinity traps) to function in the context of the instantly claimed invention. Thus, the claim fails to meet the requirement of (B)(2).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (affinity trap) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, claim 1-12 and 14 are generic to at least one element of the affinity trap.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,228

Art Unit: 1651

ipplication control (tallion: 10/0 /1;==

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/541,228

Art Unit: 1651

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron J. Kosar whose telephone number is (571) 270-3054. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5:00PM, ALT. Friday, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SANDRA E. SAUCIER

Aaron Kosar

Examiner, Art Unit 1651